

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patcht and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspit.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,231	01/28/2004	Patrick Joseph Derks	50037.205US01	4793
	7590 01 <i>1</i> 29/200 & GOULD (MICROSC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			RIAD, AMINE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2113	
			·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/767,231	DERKS ET AL.				
Omec Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication com	Amine Riad	2113				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ja	nuary 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,10,11,17,18 and 24 is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 1,10,11,17,18 and 24 is/are rejected.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) <u>2-9,12-16 and 19-23</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	·	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P					

Art Unit: 2113

### **Detailed Action**

Claims 1-24 have been presented for examination.

Claims 1,10,11,17,18, and 24 have been rejected.

Claims 2-9,12-16, and 19-23 have been objected to.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 11-17 are not limited to tangible embodiments, in view of applicant's disclosure. Specification page 5, lines 4-6 "Communication media typically embodies computer readable instructions, data structures, program modules or other data in a modulated data signal such as a carrier wave or other transport mechanism and includes any information delivery media", the medium is not limited to tangible embodiments, instead being defined as including both tangible embodiments (e.g., memory devices) and intangible embodiments (e.g., electrical or optical signals) as such, the claim is not limited to statutory subject matter and is therefore non-statutory.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Art Unit: 2113

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,10,11,17,18, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Avinash et al. US Patent 7,050,615.

In regard to claims 1,11, and 18

Avanish discloses a computer-implemented method for masking dynamic regions of a user interface for determining differences in the user interface, [Examiner considers items 43 (host computer and display) of Figure 2 as a user interface] comprising:

- generating a mask for a first snapshot of the user interface, wherein the mask
  corresponds to a set of coordinates within the first snapshot; (Column 2; lines 1-2
  [Examiner considers isolating one region of the image as masking that region of
  the image])
- associating the mask with a set of snapshots according to a snapshot key,
   wherein the set of snapshots includes the first snapshot; (Column 2; line 3
   [Examiner considers adapting the segmentation module to isolate at least one region of interest of the first and second image as associating the mask the first, and second image considered in this case as a snapshot])
- applying the mask to the set of snapshots such that the area of each snapshot that corresponds to the coordinates of the mask is ignored when the first snapshot is compared with another snapshot of the set of snapshots. (Column 2; lines 9-13)

Art Unit: 2113

In regard to claims 10, 17, and 24

Avanish discloses the computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the snapshot key is produced from a selectable combination of the information included in a file related to the first snapshot.(column 3; lines 39-43 "the segmentation module 44 receives the two images s1 and s2, from the acquisition storage module 40 and through automated or manual operation in the user interface 29 or operator console 28, isolates regions of interest between the two images"[Examiner considers since the isolation operation is automated or manual it inherently contains data to implement the masking where the key is stored in a file])

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-9,12-16,19-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S Patent Application 2006/0277520 teaches most of the limitations, but lacks the masking element, additionally U.S. patent 5,974,254 test for differences between GUI, but lacks an important element that is masking. See PTO 892

## Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amine Riad whose telephone number is 571-272-8185. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on 571-272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR Amine Riad Patent Examiner 1/10/2007

Art Unit: 2113

Page 6

Robert Beausol SQ